arXiv:1507.07201v2 [hep-ph] 4 Nov 2015

Higgs boson parity. Discussion of the experiment

I. F. Ginzburg
Sobolev Institute of Mathematics, Department of Theor. Physics, Prosp. Ac. Koptyug, 4, and
Novosibirsk State University, ul. Pirogova, 2, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia

---

Abstract

Recently CMS and ATLAS announced that they had measured the Higgs boson parity. In this note we show that their approach can determine this parity only under the additional assumption that an extension of Standard Model of some special type is realized in Nature.

We show that the used approach gives no information about the Higgs boson parity when assuming most other extensions of the Standard Model.

In recent papers the CMS [1, 2] and ATLAS [3, 4] collaborations announced that they had measured the parity of Higgs boson with mass of 125 GeV. Based on the proposals [5]-[7], these collaborations study correlations in the momentum distributions of leptons produced in decays $h \to ZZ^* \to (\ell_1\bar{\ell}_1)(\ell_2\bar{\ell}_2)$ or $h \to WW^* \to (\ell_1\nu)(\ell_2\nu)$ with leptons $\ell = e,\, \mu$.

The SM Higgs boson is definitely P-even. The problem of measuring its parity appears only in the extended models of the Higgs sector (beyond Standard Model – BSM). We subdivide such models into two groups. The approach of refs. [1]-[7] allows us to determine parity of observed Higgs boson for the models of the first group and gives no information on the parity of observed Higgs boson for the wider family of the models of the second group.

In the first group of models the Higgs boson is single scalar, and the BSM contains some new interactions, perhaps with CP violation [5]-[7]. To explain the main statement, I start with a brief description of these models in terms useful for me.

In the SM the interaction of Higgs boson with gauge bosons comes from a kinetic term of the Lagrangian

$$D^\mu\phi^* D_\mu\phi\,. \tag{1}$$

The electroweak symmetry breaking (EWSB) gives expansion of ϕ in the form $\phi = \dfrac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\begin{pmatrix} G^+ \\ v+h+iG^0 \end{pmatrix}$, where $v = 246$ GeV is v.e.v. of the Higgs field. Besides, $G^0, G^\pm$ are components of the Goldstone mode accumulated in the longitudinal component of Z, W and omitted below. After substitution of this expansion in

Preprint submitted to Elsevier

(1) terms $\propto v^2$ give masses of W and Z and terms $\propto v$ give the interactions hWW and hZZ. For brevity we write down only the interaction with Z (the interaction with W differs by coefficients only)

$$(\bar{g}v)\, h\; Z^\mu Z_\mu\,. \tag{2}$$

The BSM interactions in this model can produce new terms in the effective Lagrangian of the form (*dimension 5 operator*)

$$\Delta L = \frac{h}{v}(A\, Z^{\mu\nu} Z_{\mu\nu} + B\, \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu})\,. \tag{3}$$

(the factor v in the denominator is written to have dimensionless parameters A and B). The term with B describes a P-odd contribution in the observed process. The data [1]-[4] show that this contribution is small. Together with the measured SM-similar cross sections for Higgs observation, it allows to write that the P-odd component of Higgs is absent or small *(for this group of models).*

The second group consists of many other models, discussed now as possible candidates for BSM physics. Their common feature is the existence of additional particles similar to a Higgs boson – both P-even and P-odd with their possible mixing. For example, we consider the simplest variant of such models – the widely discussed two-Higgs-doublet model, 2HDM (see, e.g., [8]) *(the Higgs sector of MSSM is its particular case).*

In the 2HDM the basic Higgs doublet ϕ_1 is supplemented by a second scalar doublet ϕ_2. The kinetic term is a sum of two terms, similar to (1). The EWSB with standard decomposition for neutral components like the SM case $\phi_i^0 = (v_i e^{i\xi_i} + \zeta_i + i\eta_i)/\sqrt{2}$ gives four neutral fields $\zeta_{1,2},\ \ \eta_{1,2}$ (where $v_{1,2}$ are v.e.v.'s of the fields ϕ_1 and $|v_1|^2 + |v_2|^2 = v^2$). One linear combination of $\eta_{1,2}$ gives a neutral component of the Goldstone field G^0, the orthogonal linear combination of η_i is denoted by $\tilde{\eta}$. In the CP-conserving case a linear combination of the fields ζ_i forms two scalar Higgses h and H, while $\tilde{\eta}$ describes a P-odd Higgs A.

In the CP-violating case the fields ζ_i and $\tilde{\eta}$ are mixed, forming three Higgs fields h_a, having no definite P-parity. We denote the observed Higgs boson as h_1. The value of P-odd/P-even mixing for h_1 can be either small or large (with limitations that appear beyond the Higgs sector). To determine Higgs P-parity, one needs to observe (and measure) this mixing.

The interaction of h_a with Z comes from a kinetic term in precisely the same way as in the SM and can be written as

$$g^Z_{SM} \sum_a \chi_a^V h_a Z^\mu Z_\mu\,. \tag{4}$$

In this main approximation the form of $h_a ZZ$ interaction does not depend on the P-parity of h_a (only the "P-even part of h_a" interacts with Z), the terms like $B\, \varepsilon_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} Z^{\alpha\beta} Z^{\mu\nu}$) (3) don't appear. Therefore, **the experiments [1]-[4] do not allow us to draw any conclusions about the Higgs boson parity** if this model (and many other models) is realized.

In the radiative corrections small terms like (3) can appear. The CMS–ATLAS data support this smallness.

In order to finish our discussion, we show that – within 2HDM – even a big admixture of P-odd components in the observed Higgs boson does not contradict the modern data. For this goal we show that, with a suitable choice of parameters, the same values of the cross sections $gg \to h \to \gamma\gamma$ and $gg \to h \to ZZ$ can be obtained both in the SM and in the strongly CP-violating case of 2HDM with $M_1 = M_h = 125$ GeV,

$$\frac{\sigma(gg \to h_1 \to \gamma\gamma\,)}{\sigma(gg \to h \to \gamma\gamma\,)_{SM}} = 1\,, \qquad \frac{\sigma(gg \to h_1 \to ZZ)}{\sigma(gg \to h \to ZZ)_{SM}} = 1\,. \tag{5}$$

Some sets of parameter values which satisfy these equations (with taking into account some other limitations) are presented in [9] for the cases when the $t\bar{t}h_1$ production cross section will differ significantly from its SM value.

We are interested in the case when, in addition to (5), future measurements of the $t\bar{t}h_1$ production cross section will give results which are very close to predictions of the SM.

In our calculations we use relative couplings, determined for the neutral Higgs bosons h_a with mass M_a and for the charged Higgs bosons $H^\pm$ with mass $M_\pm$:

$$\chi_a^P = \frac{g_a^P}{g_{\rm SM}^P} \;\; (P = V\,(W,Z), q = (t,b,...))\,, \quad \chi_a^\pm = \frac{g(H^+H^-h_a)}{2M_\pm^2/v}\,. \tag{6}$$

(The ratio $\chi_3^V/\big(\chi_1^V\sqrt{1-(\chi_2^V)^2}\,\big)$ describes the admixture of the CP odd state in h_1 for 2HDM [10].)

Using the well-known equations for the two-photon and two-gluon widths, collected e.g. in [8], [11], we determine two benchmark sets of parameters, giving ratios (5) at $|\chi_1^t| = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} &(I) \quad \chi_1^V = 0.9, \quad \chi_1^\pm = 0.4, \quad Re(\chi_1^t) = 0.9, \;\; Im(\chi_1^t) = 0.43\,; \\ &(II) \quad \chi_1^V = 0.8, \quad \chi_1^\pm = 1.4, \quad Re(\chi_1^t) = 0.74, \;\; Im(\chi_1^t) = 0.67\,. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

(We neglected all fermion contributions except t-quarks.)

The couplings χ_a^V obey a sum rule $\sum(\chi_a^V)^2 = 1$. Therefore, in case (I) the sum $(\chi_2^V)^2 + (\chi_3^V)^2 = 0.19$, which allows us to have $\chi_2^V \approx \chi_3^V \approx 0.3$ (the admixture of the P-odd to P-even components of the h_1 about 0.3). In case (II) the sum $(\chi_2^V)^2 + (\chi_3^V)^2 = 0.36$, which allows us to have $\chi_2^V \approx \chi_3^V \approx 0.4$ (the admixture of the P-odd to P-even components of the h_1 about 0.5).

This simple analysis shows that a big P-odd admixture in the observed Higgs boson is compatible with SM-like values for many observed rates.

Certainly, detailed analysis of data with taking into account full equations is necessary.

Summary. The results [1]-[4] show definitely that all observations at the LHC are consistent with the expectations for the standard model Higgs boson with the quantum numbers $J^{PC} = 0^{++}$. Nevertheless, these data give no model independent information about the parity of the observed Higgs boson.

The signal of indefinite P-parity of the Higgs boson can be obtained at the LHC relatively soon in the observations $h_1 \to \tau\bar{\tau}$ [12] and, perhaps, in the study of the process $pp \to t\bar{t}h + ...$ [13]. Unfortunately, these experiments cannot provide information about the shares of the P-odd and P-even components in the observed Higgs boson (since even in the 2HDM the Yukawa sector is almost arbitrary for a given scalar sector). The value of h_1WW coupling, measurable in the experiments with W fusion, will be very important for the problem considered. If it is found that $\varepsilon^V = (1 - (\chi_1^V)^2) \ll 1$, then the P-odd fraction of the observed Higgs will be $\leqslant \sqrt{\varepsilon^V}$.

To measure the shares of the P-odd and P-even components in the Higgs boson, one should observe two other neutral Higgs bosons $h_{2,3}$ and their couplings to gauge bosons (a very complicated experiment). Direct measurement of this mixing is possible at a photon collider (see, e.g., [14]) when it is constructed.

The discussions with S. Eidelman, I. Ivanov, D. Kazakov, K. Melnikov and M. Vysotsky were useful. This work was supported in part by the grants RFBR 15-02-05868, NSh-3802.2012.2 and NCN OPUS 2012/05/B/ST2/03306 (2012-2016).

References

[1] The CMS Collaboration. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 081803 (2013); arXiv:1212.6639v2 [hep-ex].

[2] The CMS Collaboration. *Phys. Rev.* **D 92**, 012004 (2015); arXiv:1411.3441 [hep-ex].

[3] The ATLAS Collaboration. *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75**, 231 (2015); arXiv:1503.03643.

[4] The ATLAS Collaboration. *Eur. Phys. J.* **C**; arXiv:1506.05669 [hep-ex].

[5] S.Y. Choi, D.J. Miller, M.M. Muhlleitner, P.M. Zerwas, *Phys. Lett.* **B 553**, 61 (2003); arXiv:hep-ph/0210077.

[6] S. Bolognesi et al., *Phys. Rev.* **D 86**, 095031 (2012); arXiv:1208.4018 [hep-ph].

[7] C. P. Buszello, I. Fleck, P. Marquard, J. J. van der Bij, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C32**, 209 (2004); arXiv:hep-ph/0212396.

[8] T.D. Lee, *Phys. Rev.* **D8**, 1226 (1973); J.F. Gunion, H.E. Haber, G. Kane and S. Dawson, *The Higgs Hunter's Guide* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1990); G. C. Branco, P. M. Ferreira, L. Lavoura, M. N. Rebelo, M. Sher and J. P. Silva, Phys. Rep. **516**, 1 (2012).

[9] D. Fontes, J.C. Romao, J.P. Silva, R. Santos, arXiv:1506.00860 [hep-ph].

[10] I.F. Ginzburg, K.A. Kanishev. *Phys. Rev.* **D 92** (2015) 015024; arXiv:1502.06346 [hep-ph]

[11] I.F. Ginzburg, arXiv:1505.01984 [hep-ph].

[12] A. Askew, P. Jaiswa, T. Okui, H.B. Prosper, N. Sato, *Phys. Rev.* **D 91**, 075014 (2015); arXiv:1501.03156 [hep-ph]; S. Berge, W. Bernreuther, S. Kirchner, arXiv:1510.03850 [hep-ph].

[13] D. Fontes, J.C. Romao, J.P. Silva, arXiv:1506.00860 [hep-ph]; H.-L. Li, P.-C. Lu, Z.-G. Si, Y. Wang, arXiv:1508.06416 [hep-ph].

[14] I.F. Ginzburg, I.P. Ivanov, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C 22**, 411 (2001); arXiv:hep-ph/0004069.